

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(1999) KLR VOL 4 PART 80 pp. 673 - 846

APRIL 1999

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Emiri v. Imieyeh p. 673
2. Uchendu v. Ogboni p. 715
3. Teniola v. Olohunkun p. 753
4. Ogunye v. The State p. 777
5. Esenowo v. Ukpong p. 817

COURT OF APPEAL

1. Waziri v. Danboyi p. 833

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1999) 4 KLR**

ACTIONS - Claim - For declaration of title to land - Identity of the land
- Survey Plan - Is not a sine qua non - But there must be some clear description to make a disputed land ascertainable. *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

ACTIONS - Sheriffs and Civil Process Act - The provisions of ss. 97, 98 and 99 - Their combined effect - Is to make the writ in the instant case one for service outside the jurisdiction - Which must allow for thirty days for the defendant to appear. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

APPEALS - Concurrent findings of fact - Attitude of the Supreme Court
- To it. *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

APPEALS - Court of Appeal Act, 1976 - Provisions of s. 16 - The extent of the power of the Court of Appeal under it. *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

APPEALS - Court of Appeal - Powers - It has full powers and jurisdiction
- To amend any judgment of the trial High Court - On appeal before it. *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

APPEALS - Evaluation of evidence - Findings - That the four appellants participated in the crime - There can be no reason to interfere with such findings - Since they are fully supported by abundant evidence. *Ogunye v. The State* p. 777

APPEALS - Miscarriage of justice - Where the judgment of the Court of Appeal - Is not supported by the record of the trial court - And there was failure to consider some grounds of appeal - It resulted in a miscarriage of justice. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

COURTS - Court of Appeal Act, 1976 - Provisions of s. 16 - The extent of the power of the Court of Appeal under it. *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

COURTS - Court of Appeal - Powers - It has full powers and jurisdiction
- To amend any judgment of the trial High Court - On appeal before it.

Emiri v. Imieyeh p. 673

COURTS - Official language - Of the superior courts of record throughout Nigeria - Is English - And the onus is on one who asserts a breach - Of this notorious age-long procedural practice - To prove it. Ogunye v. The State p. 777

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Arraignment - Essential requirements - That must be satisfied to make for a valid arraignment. Ogunye v. The State p. 777

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Arraignment - Interpretation of the charge - To the appellants - In the absence of contrary evidence - It will be assumed that the correct procedure was adopted - By the trial court. Ogunye v. The State p. 777

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Arraignment - Presumption of regularity - Under s. 150(1) of the Evidence Act - Is fully applicable in the present case - Where the arraignment was carried out in a manner - Which was substantially regular. Ogunye v. The State p. 777

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Arraignment - Trial Court - Requirement that it be satisfied with the explanation of the charge - Before the accused persons plead thereto - The test with regard to the requirement - Is subjective and not objective. Ogunye v. The State p. 777

EVIDENCE - Admissibility - Statement of an accused - Where it is challenged by the defence - On the ground that the accused did not make it at all - Such an objection does not go to the admissibility of the statement. Ogunye v. The State p. 777

EVIDENCE - Declaration of title - Pleadings and evidence - Where they tallied with all that was contained in the Survey Plan - The identity of the land over which declaration of title is sought - Has been proved. Emiri v. Imieyeh p. 673

EVIDENCE - Evaluation of evidence - Findings - That the four appellants participated in the crime - There can be no reason to interfere with such findings - Since they are fully supported by abundant evidence. *Ogunye v. The State* p. 777

EVIDENCE - Evaluation of evidence - Statements of accused - Failure of the trial judge to make a finding - On the issue of whether or not the statements were made by the accused - Is erroneous. *Ogunye v. The State* p. 777

EVIDENCE - The presumption of regularity - Under s. 150(1) of the Evidence Act - Is fully applicable in the present case - Where the arraignment was carried out in a manner - Which was substantially regular. *Ogunye v. The State* p. 777

JUDGMENTS - Award - Of title - To the plaintiffs in respect of the land in dispute - Before considering the defence of the defendants - Is a sheer parody of justice. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

JUDGMENTS - Concurrent findings of fact - Attitude of the Supreme Court - To it. *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

JUDGMENTS - Conviction - Based on confessional statements - Where the trial judge failed to make a specific finding - As to whether or not the confessions were actually made by the accused - Is a gross misdirection. *Ogunye v. The State* p. 777

JUDGMENTS - Court of Appeal - Powers - It has full powers and jurisdiction - To amend any judgment of the trial High Court - On appeal before it. *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

JUDGMENTS - Declaration of title - And an order for injunction - Could not be granted once it was held that both parties were in possession

of the land in dispute - Unless the respondents in the present case can prove a better title. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

JUDGMENTS - Declaration of title - And an order of injunction - Made in favour of the respondents - Where from the evidence the land in dispute belong to both Parties - Is erroneous. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

JUDGMENTS - Evaluation of evidence - Findings - That the four appellants participated in the crime - There can be no reason to interfere with such findings - Since they are fully supported by abundant evidence. *Ogunye v. The State* p. 777

JUDGMENTS - Miscarriage of justice - Where the judgment of the Court of Appeal - Is not supported by the record of the trial court - And there was failure to consider some grounds of appeal - It resulted in a miscarriage of justice. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Ratio decidendi - Of *Skenconsult* case - As regard s. 99 of the Sheriffs and Civil Process Act - An objection as to non-compliance with the provision - Must be taken before trial. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

LAND LAW - Claim - For declaration of title to land - Identity of the land - Survey Plan - Is not a sine qua non - But there must be some clear description to make a disputed land ascertainable. *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

LAND LAW - Conveyance - Of family land - By the head of the family - What is material is the capacity in which the Vendor dealt with the land - Rather than the knowledge of the Purchaser as to the status of the land. *Teniola v. Olohunkun* p. 753

LAND LAW - Declaration of title - And an order for injunction - Could not be granted once it was held that both parties were in possession of the land in dispute - Unless the respondents in the present case can

viii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1999) 4 KLR

prove a better title. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

LAND LAW - Declaration of title - Pleadings and evidence - Where they tallied with all that was contained in the Survey Plan - The identity of the land over which declaration of title is sought - Have been proved. *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

LAND LAW - Declaration of title - Proof - Identity of the land in dispute - Sufficient evidence must be produced to ascertain the definite and precise boundaries of the land - In order to be entitled to a grant. *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

LAND LAW - Declaration of title - Proprietary interest - Regarding the land in dispute - Is not affected by Exhibit "C" which described the land as "Government Land". *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

LAND LAW - Land Tenure Law, 1962 - Distinction - Between customary right of occupancy and statutory right of occupancy - Under it. *Teniola v. Olohunkun* p. 753

LAND LAW - Right of occupancy - Deemed holder - Under s. 36 (2) of the Land Use Act - A trespasser cannot claim to be holder of land - For the purpose of s. 36(2). *Teniola v. Olohunkun* p. 753

LAND LAW - Right of occupancy - Setting aside the grant - Facts which may justify the setting aside of a grant - Cannot be used as a defence in an action for trespass - When the grant had not been set aside. *Teniola v. Olohunkun* p. 753

LAND LAW - Rights of occupancy - Validity of the grants - A Party who challenges the validity of a grant - Should take steps to have it set aside - Rather than behave as if it does not exist. *Teniola v. Olohunkun* p. 753

LAND LAW - Statutory right of occupancy - The grant of it - Freed the

land from all existing rights to use and occupation. *Teniola v. Olohunkun* p. 753

LAND LAW - Statutory rights of Occupancy - Which were validly granted - The Court will not treat the grants as if they have ceased to exist - In determining the rights of the Parties. *Teniola v. Olohunkun* p. 753

LAND LAW- Declaration of title - And an order of injunction - Made in favour of the respondents - Where from the evidence the land in dispute belong to both Parties - Is erroneous. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

LAND USE ACT - Right of occupancy - Deemed holder - Under s. 36 (2) of the Land Use Act - A trespasser cannot claim to be holder of land - For the purpose of s. 36(2). *Teniola v. Olohunkun* p. 753

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Interlocutory applications - Motion - Failure to state a particular rule of court or law under which a motion is brought - Will neither make the motion incompetent - Nor the order granted invalid. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Joinder - Of parties - Failure to amend the writ before service on the parties joined - This is not an aspect of incompetence of a court process - Which will make the proceedings a nullity. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Service - Of processes - An amended original writ - By the addition or substitution of a party thereto - Ought to be seen as the equivalent of a new writ issued against that party - And to be served according to the service rules. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Sheriffs and Civil Process Act - The provisions of ss. 97, 98 and 99 - Their combined effect - Is to make the writ in the instant case one for service outside the jurisdiction - Which must allow for thirty days for the defendant to appear. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

STATUTES - Court of Appeal Act, 1976 - Provisions of s. 16 - The extent of the power of the Court of Appeal under it. *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

STATUTES - Interpretation - Sheriffs and Civil Process Act - s. 99 thereof - The purpose of the provision. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

STATUTES - Sheriffs and Civil Process Act -The provisions of ss. 97, 98 and 99 - Their combined effect - Is to make the writ in the instant case one for service outside the jurisdiction - Which must allow for thirty days for the defendant to appear. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

STATUTES - Land Tenure Law, 1962 - Distinction - Between customary right of occupancy and statutory right of occupancy - Under it. *Teniola v. Olohunkun* p. 753

STATUTES - Ratio decidendi - Of *Skenconsult* case - As regard s. 99 of the Sheriffs and Civil Process Act - An objection as to non-compliance with the provision - Must be taken before trial. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

TORTS - Defamation - Action for defamation - No such action will be maintained - Unless the words complained of have more than their ordinary meaning. *Esenowo v. Ukpong* p. 817

TORTS - Defamation - Words used - Where they reflect the true situation - No libel can be sustained on them. *Esenowo v. Ukpong* p. 817

WORDS & PHRASES - Libel - What it means. *Esenowo v. Ukpong* p. 817

COURT OF APPEAL

ELECTION PETITION - Lapse of time - The tribunal cannot go one day - Beyond the period specified by Law - And if it does the proceedings will become a nullity. *Waziri v. Danboyi* p. 833 CA

ELECTION PETITION - Non Compliance - Address for service - Failure to mention the occupier - Is not fatal - And should not make the petition incompetent. Waziri v. Danboyi p. 833 CA

FAIR HEARING - The principle - Does not mean the court should do the impossible - Where the tribunal gives the parties - Chance to address it on the issues raised - The appellant's right to fair hearing has not been infringed. Waziri v. Danboyi p. 833 CA

LOCUS STANDI - Issue of locus - Is a condition precedent - To any action before a court of Law - And ought to be decided at the earliest stage of the proceedings. Waziri v. Danboyi p. 833 CA

LOCUS STANDI - Evidence - Issue of locus Standi - Where evidence has not been taken - Can only be decided on the statement of claim - And the statute creating the cause of action. Waziri v. Danboyi p. 833 CA

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979, S.213(3). Uchendu v. Ogoni p. 715; ss. 33 Ogunye v. The State p. 777

Court of Appeal Act, 1976, s. 16 Emiri v. Imieyeh p. 673

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Court of Appeal Rules, o.1 rr. 20 and 21 *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673

Criminal Code Law. Cap 31, Laws of Lagos State, 1973; ss. 402 and 403A
Ogunye v. The State p. 777

Criminal Procedure Law of Lagos State Cap. 32; s. 215 *Ogunye v. The State* p. 777

Evidence Act, Cap. 112 Laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 1990 ss. 136 and 138 *Emiri v. Imieyeh* p. 673; s.150 (1) *Ogunye v. The State* p. 777

High Court Rules, cap.51 Laws of Cross River State 1979, 0.4 r.5(1) *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715

Land and Native Right Ordinance, Cap 105 Laws of Nigeria, 1948; ss. 4, 6, 9, 17 and 18 *Teniola v. Olohunkun* p. 753

Land Tenure Law No 25 of 1962 Laws of Northern Nigeria; ss. 2, 6 and 19 *Teniola v. Olohunkun* p. 753

Land Use Act, Cap 202 Laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 1990; ss 5(2), 28, 34 and 36 *Teniola v. Olohunkun* p. 753

Sheriffs and Civil Process Act, SS 97, 98 and 99. *Uchendu v. Ogboni* p. 715